





Whig & Lynde, Proprietors.  
TUESDAY, DEC. 24, 1889.

THE CIRCULATION  
OF THE WHIG & LYNDEN  
IS AS FOLLOWS:

That of any other Daily Paper in Maine, East of Portland.  
Daily circulation—Weekly 1818.  
Terms of Advertising.  
Per column (insert of 16 lines this type) 50 cents per week.  
For each week's advertisement, 1.00.  
For each week's advertisement, 1.00.  
For each week's advertisement, 1.00.

When a lady in a well-dressed and comfortable carriage, with a driver, was seen to enter the Employment Store, it was a sign that the store was doing well. The lady was seen to enter the store, and it was a sign that the store was doing well.

LOCAL AND MAINE NEWS.  
The following is the result of the ballot for the County Treasurer of Penobscot, as declared by the County Commissioners, and published by the following:  
Charles S. Crosby, (Rep.) 647  
John H. Wilson, (Dem.) 568  
Nathan Weston, 568  
C. Crosby, 647  
J. H. Wilson, 568  
N. Weston, 568  
C. Crosby, 647  
J. H. Wilson, 568  
N. Weston, 568

Various Items.  
A discovery has been made in Virginia of a new species of coal, which is very light, and burns with a bright flame. The discovery was made by a geologist who was exploring the coal fields of the State.

CHARLES HIGHT respectfully informs his friends and the public, that he has returned from Europe with a CHOICE and VALUABLE COLLECTION OF BOOKS, that are Books.

Upholstery Goods, Feathers, &c., &c.  
Our Stock, embracing a good assortment of all the special articles contained in the above list, is now on hand, and can be found at our store, at a very low price.

STOCKNEY & ROBERTS.  
No. 5 Main Street.  
Dec 10  
No. 5 Main Street.

THE POSITION OF A DOUGLAS OAKMAN.  
By perseverance we have at length obtained something like a definite answer from one of the leading organs of the Douglas Democracy in this State, as to the views of that party upon the Free Soil doctrine. If we are to take the reply of the Boston Times as expressive of the sentiments of the Douglas democrats generally, then the question is settled, that they are no going to quarrel with the democratic organization, or to withdraw from the democratic party on account of any acceptance of the pro slavery doctrine of the Supreme Court. They are "pro-slavery" against the democratic party, because they perceive that the people of the North can never be made to accept them, but as to making their position effective by holding the party nominal to their views, they are doing it. They will adhere to the pro slavery doctrine, whatever doctrine or principle its leaders may espouse upon the democratic creed. But we will print the reply of the Times in full.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

Accident.—A lady named Fred Partridge, of the corner of Oxford street, was killed by a horse and carriage on Monday last. The accident occurred at the corner of Oxford street, and the lady was killed by a horse and carriage.

During an examination, a medical student, who was asked, "When you pop the question, are you answered?"

During an examination, a medical student, who was asked, "When you pop the question, are you answered?"

During an examination, a medical student, who was asked, "When you pop the question, are you answered?"

During an examination, a medical student, who was asked, "When you pop the question, are you answered?"

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.

The Boston Whig proposes a question to us—will we not admit that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past? The answer is, that the Democratic party is not a party of the future, but a party of the past.







